UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,647	08/16/2006	Qingzhong Li	U 016357-3	9983
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 01/05/200 RRY LLP	9	EXAMINER	
26 WEST 61ST		HUGHES, SCOTT A		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		Application No.						
		10/589,647	LI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		SCOTT A. HUGHE						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 August 2006						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
'=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requireme	ent.					
		······································						
	on Papers							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exa		N					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	÷ , ,	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr		4)	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date btice of Informal Patent Application her:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, the abstract reads "on the basis of the SPS fotormat spreadsheets" when it appears it should read "format spreadsheets." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

For example, in step (1) of claim 1, applicant uses the limitation "wherein the eight digits file names and three digits extension names consisting of Arabic numerals 0-9 and English letters" when it appears that it should read "wherein the eight digit file

Art Unit: 3663

names and three digit extension names consist of Arabic numerals 0-9 and English letters."

For example, it is unclear what is meant in step (3) of claim 1 in applicant's limitations directed to intermittence signals and record the absolute operating time. It is further unclear what is meant by "the above file names effectively recorded in SPS format ... being on the basis of the former eight digits" in step (4) of claim 1.

The claims are replete with limitations for which there is no antecedent basis.

For example, "the eight digit file names" in step (1) of the claim and "the detonating units generating" in step (3) of the claim. As these are the first times these limitations are mentioned, applicant should not use the term "the" in front of these limitations as this implies that, for example, the file name or detonating units are already part of the recited claim limitations.

Claim 1 is further indefinite because applicant uses the limitation "the regulations of the same file names" in step (5) of the claim. It is unclear what is meant by the limitation of "the regulations of the same file names" which applicant places in quotations in the claims. Applicant has not specified or described what these regulations are, and therefore the scope of the claim limitation is indefinite.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,647

Art Unit: 3663

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SCOTT A. HUGHES whose telephone number is (571)272-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am to 5:30pm.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3663

/Jack W. Keith/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3663